# $\sim$ The Sovereign Crown Executive Authority of the Constitution $\sim$

Chapter and Revelation 1 of 2 ~ educational documents **Baycorp & Australian Tax office v/s God** 

The first END days Judgement of man by God's Judge given in the 'Case': The Australian Tax Office & Baycorp officials v/s Mrs. C. Barnes.

To: The CEO Geoff Harper, Darryl Macey, the Team' and humanity. Baycorp Collections PDL (Australia) Pty Limited Locked Bag 5327
Parramatta NSW 2124

Dear Sir/Madam

Ref: Mrs C. Barnes - Your 'Client' No: 672815163 - Claim No: 92000020082052

**I have offered** Mrs. C. Barnes (My Client) a free Constitutional Law 'consultation' in respect of <a href="her">her</a> 'Rights' granted by the Constitutional Authority and my Judgement of the case. This being the Right to live according to <a href="her">her</a> conscience and ideological religious belief and <a href="not">not</a> be taxed, harassed, persecuted or disadvantaged and, - - -

This reality is clearly stated within the Principle 'Freedom of Religion' clause of the Constitution Act (9th July 1900) section 116 and the Constitution Act 1934 (Tas). In the Constitutional documents she is so entitled to her belief and to live according to it as long as she does not disturb the peace of the land and, - - -

As an 'educator' it is my task for her <u>and</u> your 'Team' <u>and</u> all humanity to ascertain the <u>legality</u> of her belief according to the Constitution of the land <u>and</u> according to the Command of God because, the Constitution is 'founded' upon the <u>belief</u> that God <u>is</u> the Supreme Ruler of man.

Point 1 -

### The QUESTIONS at hand are:

- A The Constitutional <u>legality</u> or otherwise of your action against her and, is the \$2,200 claim a legitimate one in her case or not, in respect to her Constitutional 'Freedom of Religion' <u>belief</u> Rights?
- B Is the Taxation Act 1953 valid in this case or is it <u>overruled</u> by the 'Freedom of Religion' Act within the Constitution?
- C <u>Does</u> the 'Freedom of Religion' ACT of the Constitution retain legitimacy today? In other words is the Constitutional Authority 'Freedom of Religion' ACT 'dead or alive'?
- D The alternative question is has it <u>been</u> overthrown by rogue politicians to such an extent that this <u>base</u> Primary Law of the land is <u>now</u> 'null & void' and thus the government <u>has</u> fallen?
- E The most important point is whether or not our client has <u>immunity</u> from <u>persecution</u>, <u>prosecution</u> and <u>enforced taxation</u> according to the <u>protection</u> promised to her by the Constitutional Authority stemming from her spiritual <u>belief</u> in the doctrine of PEACE.
- F Is the 'Freedom of Religion' Act of the Constitution being <u>ignored</u> by the Judiciary and enforcement agencies? If the answer is "Yes" then a state of <u>ANARCHY</u> \* exists, and <u>illegal</u> 'rule' by force of arms has taken its place and, - -

It follows that <u>every</u> controlling, interfering, punitive or enforcement <u>action</u> against the peaceful going about their daily business is <u>TREASON</u> against the Nation, the Flag, the Queen, the Constitution and the Sovereign Authority, God.

God's inspiration invoked this 'act' so that the 'peaceful' <u>could</u> go about their daily business <u>without</u> being 'interfered with, taxed, persecuted, fined, punished, disadvantaged' etc., and the 'Crown' of the day promised that IT would grant them <u>IT'S</u> protection.

**Note**: ANARCHY \* - Anarchy comes in four forms: Political, Judicial, Civil, and Spiritual. See 'Added notes' at end of page 8

Point 2 – I address this letter to the CEO, Darryl Macey the 'Collections Manager' as well as to each staff member because, on the 'back' of your official opening letter to Mrs. Barnes dated 20 June 2011 it is clearly stated:

"Baycorp (Aust) Pty Ltd - - - undertakes - - - - on its own behalf.

I bring this statement to your notice because it clarifies the 'point' that, - - - any 'action or coercion or punitive activity' undertaken against my client Mrs. C. Barnes which is found to be in contravention of the 'mandate' or otherwise granted to the Taxation officer or yourselves, - - - due to the contravention of any Principle 'Act' within the Constitution of Australia, becomes a personal 'liability' of all individuals employed by your organization.

Be warned therefore by your own 'admission' by your words "(on its own behalf)" that <u>if</u> it is deemed that your 'action' is <u>illegitimate</u> and thus <u>unlawful</u> under the Australian Constitution then your current undertaking as regards Mrs. Barnes becomes a criminal and <u>treasonable</u> activity, and <u>everyone</u> concerned is culpable.

I wish to make it quite clear from the outset that no 'individual' can 'hide' behind the façade of 'The Corporation,' be it the ATO taxation officers or Baycorp staff because, everyone <u>is</u> now to find out that as 'individuals' we <u>are</u> all personally responsible for <u>our</u> actions, being <u>our</u> interaction with others in respect of its benign or malignant nature, and 'fact or fiction.'

Point 3 – It follows that any 'activity' which is in contravention of Constitutional 'Policy' and which results in 'interference, collusion, demeaning, impoverishing, harassment, coercion, threat, punishment, extortion' or, the causing of mental or emotional 'injury' of a peaceful citizen of the land, will be very harshly dealt with by 'The Authority,' because, any such activity is of itself an 'act' of Treason against the Queen, the Flag, the Nation, the Constitution and God.

Any person who claims the 'legitimacy' to take up the 'cudgel' for and on behalf of any other for the purpose of persecution, prosecution, extortion, punishment or the 'collection' of alleged debts needs to be very 'clear' of the legal <u>and</u> spiritual implications of <u>their</u> undertakings.

Point 4 – My aim in providing you with this information stems from a desire to inform you of factual matters around this 'case' which may presently be unknown by you, possibly due to ignorance of their existence.

Since I am an honorable 'counselor' motivated only by a desire to assist all, I believe in 'total disclosure,' and to assist and protect <u>you</u> from the consequence of any unseen 'folly,' I lay certain facts upon your 'plate' asking that you tread 'warily' with open eyes so that you do not 'entrap' your own souls through ignorance.

**Note**: Legal action – implies an authorised <u>action</u> taken that is <u>above</u> 'reproach' and is not punishable within the law of man and, within the 'mosaic' Law of man it means 'Salvation' by ones works (deeds – actions) rather than Salvation by free grace.

(The example I give is the false religious ideological belief that a leader such as 'Jesus' <u>or</u> a rule in a book permits one to disturb the peace of others with no consequences for <u>their</u> actions – thus voiding the application of God's Law. The true believer <u>knows</u> that there <u>is</u> a consequence for <u>every</u> action and that <u>God's</u> Law <u>cannot</u> be voided, avoided or nullified by 'grace' nor by man made legislation.

**Note**: Illegal action – an unauthorised <u>action</u> taken that is deemed by the law of man as 'criminal' and punishable. In spiritual terms an 'illegal activity' is a contravention of God's 'Peace, love, mercy, forgive' Command and punishable by spiritual death. (Banishment to the underworld)

## "Freedom of Religion"

Point 5 – In order to 'prove' and 'Judge' the case at hand one way or the other one first needs to understand the <u>meaning</u> of the <u>words</u> 'Freedom of Religion' and how it is applicable to citizens of Australia and <u>every</u> land mass on earth and, understand and accept that on this 'material' level, the overriding power of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act (9<sup>th</sup> July 1900) section 116 supercedes all subsequent legislation.

The validity or otherwise of your claim against our client hinges upon the interpretation of the following Commonwealth and Tasmanian Constitutional Acts:

#### Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act (9th July 1900) section 116

"The Commonwealth <u>shall not make any law for establishing any religion</u>, or for imposing any religious observance, or for prohibiting the free exercise of any religion,

#### AND

#### **Constitution Act 1934 (Tas)**

This document includes a <u>legal guarantee of the religious liberty and equality of Tasmanians</u>. Every citizen is <u>guaranteed freedom of conscience and the free exercise of religion</u> under Section 46(1) of this Act.

## Part V - General provisions

Religious freedom

- 46. (1) Freedom of conscience and the free profession and practice of religion are, <u>subject</u> to public order and morality, guaranteed to every citizen.
- (2) No person shall be subject to any disability, or be required to take any oath on account of his religion or religious belief.

Section 116 is a general prohibition applying to <u>all</u> laws and <u>subsequent</u> legislation, under whatever powers these laws have been made. It does not compete with other provisions of the Constitution and it prevails over all laws and limits all provisions which give power to make laws. Accordingly no law can escape the application of s 116 and all legislative powers are

subject to the condition which s 116 imposes. Equally, section Constitution Act 1934 (Tas) and its Part V - General provisions "Religious freedom" applies to every State of Australia. Section 117.

One also needs to understand that on a 'spiritual' level the above Section 116 and all else was the direct divine inspiration of God through the minds of the mortals who invoked said Constitution, and the deeper aspect of this is clarified in Chapter & Revelation 2 of 2.

## The question is 'What is the meaning of the word 'Religion'?

Justices Mason and Brennan, in a joint judgment, stressed the importance of the case in determining fundamental questions of religious freedom in Australia and the extent to which <u>an individual is free to believe and act without legal restraint</u>.

Justices Mason and Brennan said, "Freedom of religion, the paradigm freedom of conscience, is of the essence of a free society. The chief function in the law of a definition of religion is to mark out an area within which a person subject to the law is free to believe and act in accordance with his belief without legal restraint."

The definition affected the operation of the <u>religious-freedom guarantee under the Constitution</u> and many other laws granting religions special benefits. "Protection is accorded to preserve the dignity and freedom of each man so that he <u>may</u> adhere to any religion of his choosing or to none," they said. "The freedom of religion being equally conferred on all, the variety of religious beliefs which are within the area of legal immunity is not restricted."

The judges stressed the importance of the "<u>Actions of the adherents</u>" rather than the dogma itself. (See 'consequence of actions' Link at document end)

I Judge Malaher state that the word 'Religion' is the belief in a superpower entitled to <u>be</u> revered and obeyed and, IT IS THE IDEOLOGICAL CODE OF CONDUCT <u>POLICY</u> as Commanded by the Sovereign Power (God) that is to be obeyed.

The Tasmanian and Commonwealth CONSTITUTIONS <u>are</u> 'The Sovereign Ruler' giving <u>ITS</u> ruling decrees unto ITS (His/Her) 'servants,' (politicians and local community officials) who <u>are</u> supposed to serve IT 'judiciously,' and to NOT <u>err</u> in raising up conflicting edicts and <u>rules</u> that control and <u>enslave</u> and <u>mislead</u> the youth of the Nation.

It is these subsequent and 'contradictory' political rules that <u>mislead</u> everyone and the 'local' judiciary who it appears are as yet not aware of the <u>Constitutional</u> Authority.

Persons such as myself who  $\underline{do}$  'conform' to Constitutional 'rights' granted unto me and who  $\underline{do}$  obey the "Do not disturb the peace of the land and be of moral standing" rulings of God, man  $\underline{and}$  the Constitution,  $\underline{do}$  have the right to live as 'free men or women' and go about their business  $\underline{without}$  'Let or hindrance.'

Such individuals do <u>not</u> support, condone, nor fund any other person, group or institution such as a government that <u>has</u> the CONTRA ideology, being the <u>belief</u> that <u>they</u> are entitled to <u>use</u> force and 'Terrorise, invade, subjugate, tax, seize, steal property, injure, dispossess and KILL.'

All necessary information needed to show the reasons for my Judgement on this case are given within <u>these</u> sacred documents Chapter & revelation 1 of 2 and Chapter & revelation 2 of 2.

My text will lead those walking in ignorance and <u>thus</u> in 'error' towards the Light as they will be able to come to a <u>personally informed</u> decision of my findings. This allows them to <u>then</u> choose to

change their present ways or continue on in their ways and our <u>God</u> will Judge them individually according to His 'interpretation' of their WORKS – deeds – ACTIONS.

Point 6 – The 'validity' or otherwise of your demand that Mrs. Barnes pay you the alleged \$2,200 Tax debt is based upon <u>your</u> belief that you <u>have</u> the authority to so <u>claim</u> and, that your actions taken against our 'client' are <u>legal</u> due to the 'wording' in a <u>section</u> within the Taxation Administration Act of 1953 that unbeknown to you are <u>not</u> applicable to this case.

Point 7 – I refer now to (Extract from: http://www.peo.gov.au/faq/faq\_23.html)

23.1 What role do the courts play in Australian governance? Australia's system of courts is the third arm of Australian governance and is known as the <u>Judiciary</u>. The role of the Judiciary is to:

- apply the <u>law</u> as made by the Parliament
- where necessary, interpret the laws made by Parliament
- <u>ensure</u> that laws <u>comply</u> with the <u>Australian Constitution</u>

Point 8 – For a very long time now there has been a <u>false</u> assumption by the ATO (Australian Tax office) that the Taxation Act of 1953 is applicable to <u>every</u> citizen living on the land, and it is revealed by me within this document that this is a very grave <u>error</u> of assumption.

I ask you to avail yourself of all this information so that your officials and staff have the opportunity to reconsider your stand <u>before</u> further proceedings to extract moneys from our client <u>and</u> others is commenced.

Point 9 – As stated in point 2 above, any dispute placed before a <u>magistrate</u> should first be examined so that the 'Act' used by the Taxation office or Baycorp is 'proven' against the Constitutional Authority to < <u>ensure</u> that laws <u>comply</u> with the <u>Australian Constitution</u> > because it is <u>not</u> 'automatic' that they so do as in this case with Mrs. Barnes for whom the Act of 1953 does <u>not</u> apply in my considered opinion as revealed herein.

It is your <u>belief</u> to the 'legality' of your claim that is the <u>item</u> 'challenged' by this document because, such assumption has very grave implications for your staff and yourselves if it is <u>found</u> to be a <u>false supposition</u> \* by the Absolute Authority God <u>and</u> the Constitutional powers.

**Note**: false supposition \* - The supposition on your side is that <u>as</u> the alleged debt collection request arose from the offices of the ATO that  $\underline{it}$  (\$2,200) is a legal debt when it is not.

Point 10– This 'Judgement' on my part and reference points in this Chapter 1 should assist your 'legal' team to give you a quick response for it is time for you to <u>understand</u> that it <u>is</u> incumbent upon your team to:

< ensure that laws comply with the <u>Australian Constitution</u> > before you go forth because, no doubt many of your past 'collections' could be <u>proven</u> to be <u>criminal acts</u> of extortion within the Primary Acts of THE Constitutional Authority.

Point 11 – The Tax office department has evolved into an Institution whose officers <u>believe</u> that <u>everyone</u> is 'fair game' and taxable 'on demand' by their rules, with civilians being punished by fine or imprisonment or dispossession if they 'default' in any way according <u>to</u> the <u>ideological</u> 'beliefs' of taxation officials and the RULES they have established as <u>their</u> means of taxing.

This belief is error and is NOT encoded within the Australian Constitution due to the 'Freedom of religion' <u>factor</u>, nor is it so stated by the Supreme Ruler, God. This error of judgement by the 'government' establishment is the subject matter of <u>this</u> Treatise of Truth on 'legality.'

Point 12 – In reference to your 'policy' I also wish to alert you to the fact that it is error to simply <u>assume</u> that everyone can be termed a 'legal' victim \* of the ATO in its <u>extortion</u> of money from community members.

Note: 'legal' victim \* - Being any person who defies God and the Constitution by 'disturbing the peace of the land' and/or, being a person who votes, condones, supports and funds by taxes the institution named 'The government,' and they are thus a 'paid up' member of the institution)

Point 13 – Since you will find that there are many people who are not the ATO's 'legal' victims due to their religious belief, it is incumbent upon your staff to <u>first</u> avail themselves of the 'reasons' whereby a person on this land is <u>not</u> a person liable to be 'taxed, fined, persecuted, coerced, dispossessed or punished' and, this you need to do <u>before</u> 'executing' a letter of demand upon them.

Point 14 – The most important point is whether or not our client has <u>immunity</u> from <u>persecution</u>, <u>prosecution</u> and <u>enforced taxation</u> and is <u>protected</u> by the Constitutional 'Crown' Authority <u>stemming</u> from her (C. Barnes) spiritual <u>belief</u> in the doctrine of PEACE that being her 'religious ideology.' For your information the following points are her <u>BELIEF</u> around the matter:

CB-1 – She must <u>obey</u> the Command of her <u>God</u> and go her way in <u>peace</u> at all times and in all situations.

CB-2 – She must <u>never</u> support, condone nor <u>fund</u> any person or <u>Institution having the contra</u> doctrine to peace, being the doctrine of 'interference, control, extortion, punishment, destruction, war or killing.'

CB-3 - She also believes that it is forbidden by her God to bear 'arms' and use force against others.

CB-4 – Due to her religious belief she cannot pay any <u>Tax</u> or 'fine' to any Institution of government because in so doing, she would be supporting <u>their</u> controlling, punitive and warring 'Works' and her Creator would see <u>her</u> as a 'faithless' individual.

CB-5 – She believes that if she was to support a punitive ideological organisation she would then be complicit to all activity being done <u>on her behalf</u> and <u>in her name</u> by said Institution. This would place her within the punitive aspect of the "As you or your servants did do unto others will be done unto you" Law of God, which would result in her being made to suffer the same 'sorrows' imposed upon others as were imposed in her name by the government enforcers.

CB-6 – Our client is a person of moral 'standing' in that her principles, conduct and actions <u>are</u> in accordance with <u>God's</u> Command to: "*Go your way in peace and love one another.*" Further her 'conduct' is also in accordance with the <u>subject to public order and morality</u> Act of the Constitution part V - General provisions.

CB-7 - It is her ideological belief that she must have God as her "head of house" and thus has chosen to "bow" in submission to <u>His</u> "Go your way in peace and be kind, merciful, compassionate and forgiving" Command.

CB-8 - The validity and <u>proof</u> of her ideological belief is her good conduct in the face of past adversity when persecuted by the ATO and, she has no criminal record and, that her whole life's work is in assisting the mentally disturbed, the education of the lost and the poor of heart. Her personal web site is a living <u>Testament</u> to this FACT. http://www.for-giveness.co.uk

Point 15 - The Spiritual Law reality - Let it be clearly understood, no 'latter day' legislated TEXT entity being a <u>RULE</u> invoked by political decree <u>after</u> the elevation of the Constitution can give anyone immunity from the Crown Authority (Queen) and the Sovereign Ruler (God) that upholds the Constitution, <u>nor</u> from the Superior Law of God. (As you sow so shall ye reap)

Within the precepts of God's Command there is NO 'provision' for any person to go forth and interfere with, persecute, injure, dispossess, punish or kill any other child of God for any reason for the <u>Creator</u> states:

"Go your way in peace and love one another"

Going ones way 'in peace' has the <u>added</u> stipulation that every individual is a 'free spirit' <u>entitled</u> to live by their religious ideology <u>as long as</u> they do not disturb the peace of the land. There is however an immutable LAW of the Creator that states:

"As you sow so shall ye reap."

This means that what you the individual do unto others will by others be done unto you on an equal basis and, --- a non peaceful person is self proven to be of a 'warring' ideological nature if they interfere in the lives of others as they DISTURB THE PEACE of the land, and these are self proven to have NO <u>immunity</u> from persecution, punishment and banishment within the "Freedom of Religion' ruling of the Constitution nor within the Law of God.

I give my Judgement on the six 'Questions' at hand, and in Chapter & Revelations 2 of 2, I give my 'reasoning' around the issues 'judged' to clarify the basis of my judgement around the moral and spiritual truths and consequences for all concerned.

#### My JUDGEMENT of The QUESTIONS at hand

A – The Constitutional <u>legality</u> or otherwise of your action against her and, is the \$2,200 claim a legitimate one in her case or not, in respect to her Constitutional 'Freedom of Religion' <u>belief</u> Rights?

**Judgement on A -The Constitutional Law reality -** There is no legality within Constitutional Law for your action and the claim is invalid.

B – Is the Taxation Act 1953 valid in this case or is it <u>overruled</u> by the 'Freedom of Religion' Act within the Constitution?

**Judgement on B -The Constitutional Law reality -** The Taxation Act 1953 is overruled by the Commonwealth Constitution Act of 1900 section 116.

C – <u>Does</u> the 'Freedom of Religion' ACT of the Constitution retain legitimacy today? In other words is the Constitutional Authority 'Freedom of Religion' ACT 'dead or alive'?

**Judgement on C – The Constitutional Law reality –** The 'Freedom of Religion' Act is certainly not dead, it is simply being ignored by the ignorant and arrogant.

D – The alternative question is has it <u>been</u> overthrown by rogue politicians to such an extent that this <u>base</u> Primary Law of the land is <u>now</u> 'null & void' and thus the government <u>has</u> fallen?

**Judgement on D** – The government <u>has</u> 'fallen' and as such is operating illegally because a state of Anarchy exists within the political arena and, for a very long time politicians have used their position to extend their powers beyond the bounds of democracy, decency and honour. Decency, morality and honour disappeared as they 'legislated' many immoral and illegal 'Acts of Parliament' now enshrined as 'laws' that permit the invasion of privacy of the individual as well as an exponential increase in monetary extortion and control within every activity of man and, --

In the <u>minds</u> of politicians the Primary and democratic 'Freedom of Religion' Law of the Constitution is <u>null and void</u> and thus totally ignored in a manner that is <u>treasonable</u> and their edicts now mislead everyone. The ultimate consequence is the disintegration of society.

It follows that the government doctrine is illegal, their control is illegal, their taxation is illegal, and their 'rules' as well as their overbearing RULE is also illegal in the context of the Constitutional powers that grant their mandate, as well as the code of conduct policy granted to all mankind within God's Sovereign Command.

E - Another important point is whether or not our client has <u>immunity</u> from <u>persecution</u>, <u>prosecution</u> and <u>enforced taxation</u> and <u>protection</u> by the Constitutional Authority stemming from her spiritual <u>belief</u> in the doctrine of PEACE.

**Judgement on E** – Yes, the client has immunity from persecution, prosecution and taxation and is guaranteed protection by the Crown within the 'Freedom of Religion' act of the Constitution. It follows that any punitive action against her 'person' is an illegal activity of the most serious nature.

F – Is the 'Freedom of Religion' Act of the Constitution being <u>ignored</u> by the Judiciary and enforcement agencies? If the answer is "Yes" then a state of <u>ANARCHY</u> \* exists, and <u>illegal</u> 'rule' by <u>force of arms</u> exists.

**Judgement on F** – The 'Freedom of Religion' Act of the Constitution <u>is</u> being <u>ignored</u> by the Judiciary and enforcement agencies and a state of ANARCHY exists, and illegal 'rule' by force of arms exists.

#### Note: ANARCHY \*

Anarchy is a state of society without government – no 'ruler' (Rejection of Constitutional policy and Authority and defiance of God.)

This is evidenced by political, judicial and social disorder – absence of government restraint – the peaceful are not being left in peace but are controlled, taxed, punished and killed if they appear to be a threat to the existence of those maintaining POWER and CONTROL.

Those in control are the men backed by armed forces that do whatever they are 'ordered' to by 'voice' or 'text' rules set out in the numerous and ever growing 'laws' enacted by the men in control.

**Political Anarchy**: Is 'proven' when Politicians (Chiefs of Staff and departmental heads) totally <u>ignore</u> the mandate granted unto them by the Sovereign Constitution, and over time and more time they invoke 'endless' <u>illegal</u> 'edicts' that are enshrined in books of rules that are not only a contravention of Constitutional Policy <u>and</u> the Command of God, but these 'rules' actually 'licence' and <u>force</u> the Judiciary and enforcers to <u>treasonably</u> go forth and carry out every activity necessary to fulfill the 'orders' of the politicians.

These 'political' orders are nothing more then illegally enshrined decrees that <u>permit</u> <u>interference</u>, <u>control</u>, <u>enslavement</u>, <u>monetary extortion</u>, <u>punishment and dispossession</u>.

**Judicial Anarchy**: Is 'proven' when Judges and Magistrates <u>fail</u> to 'check' whether the 'case' <u>Act</u> presented is valid and thus legal within the 'Freedom of Religion' precepts of Constitutional Law and, - - - Anarchy is also so proven if they <u>fail</u> or <u>refuse</u> to 'hear' or accept or read the defense statement of a defendant and pass punitive judgement regardless and, - - -

Anarchy is also proven when they <u>exceed</u> their mandate and, - - - Anarchy is also proven when they knowingly <u>choose</u> to ignore the Constitutional 'rules' and uphold the latter day inferior rules that are given as due cause for the case at hand.

Civil Anarchy: Is 'proven' when the people of the land go forth <u>disobedient</u> to the Constitutional 'Freedom of Religion' clause which clearly stipulates that they are free to go about their business <u>subject to public order and morality</u> and, - - - Anarchy is also proven when they defy the "Go your way in peace" Command of the Creator and instead they go forth with the <u>intent</u> to disturb the peace of the land in <u>causing</u> havoc, pain and destruction.

**Spiritual Anarchy** - Rejection of the Sovereign Authority, God and disobedience to His Command with the resulting chaos, suffering, persecution and killing as man deceived by the Serpent bows to the Command of the BEAST being:

"Do what thou wilt using all necessary force as you go forth using my destructive energy and control, enslave, tax, invade, seize, steal, rob, rape, pillage, kill and destroy, for you are above the Law of God and are thus not 'accountable' for your actions and you will not die in your sin."

Men in power say: Tax everyone on the land to fund our 'club' <u>using</u> force of arms. <u>God</u> says: GIVE with love and share your income with the less fortunate <u>using</u> LOVE.

#### I further STATE:

It is <u>my</u> belief that interfering, extortionist or punitive 'actions' of the ATO and Baycorp will by God, man and the Constitutional Crown 'Authority' be seen as 'Guilty' of:

Religious intolerance and persecution.

Denying the <u>religious freedom of others</u> who are <u>not</u> disturbing the peace of the land.

Treason against the Sovereign Constitutional Power.

Treason <u>against</u> the State of Heaven.

Disturbing the peace of the peaceful in contravention of God's RULING command.

Disturbing the peace of the peaceful <u>in contravention of</u> the Australian Constitutional Crown authority.

<u>Defiance</u> of the authority granted unto them by <u>their</u> Constitutional Mandate. (Tasmanian & Commonwealth)

Harassment <u>inciting</u> civil unrest. <u>Enticing</u> other members of the community (police & the magistrate) to join their persecution of a peaceful woman.

<u>Using coercion</u> \* to try and force her to 'fund' a <u>religious ideology</u> of control, interference and punishment and thereby <u>becoming</u> 'complicit to' and 'party to' ITS <u>contra</u> code of conduct policy – ideology - beliefs. (The use of force)

Note: Coercion\* - being the punishment factor she is subjected to. Coercion is using the <u>forceful</u> <u>court of man</u> or a <u>collection agency</u> as the <u>means</u> to cause her embarrassment, mental, emotional and possibly physical duress, and material loss.

#### Added Notes & references:

**God's immutable LAW**: "As you or your servants do unto others will by others be done unto you on an equal and thus 'eye for an eye' Just and Equitable basis, be it merciful or merciless, benign or malignant, kind or cruel, creative or destructive, for both these energies exist in my frame."

**Constitutional legality** is any activity carried out that is in accordance with the doctrine stated therein by its Text.

**Spiritual legality** is any activity carried out that is in accordance with the precepts of the Command of God as stipulated in the following Text:

The one **TRUE** Religion: The 'ideal' being the <u>belief</u> in: Tender loving CARE. Peace, compassion, kindness, truthfulness, mercy, respect, forgiveness, freedom & creativity using **LOVE**. (Benign)

Thus the aspirant, pilgrim or 'follower' remains loyal in being an <u>absolute pacifis</u>t in their interaction with others at all times.

**God's Judgement** is based upon ones daily WORKS = deeds = interaction with others.

The implementation of this Judgement is revealed at the end of Chapter & Revelation 2 of 2 that gives a clear understanding of how the <u>use</u> of either the benign or the malignant energy of God affects the energy mass within the soul of man.

Added 'Spiritual LAW' Note: Irrespective of any Constitutional Policy or Law, any person who finds the justification or 'legitimacy' to personally take up the 'cudgel' against another or, for and on behalf of any other, for the purpose of extracting money or causing loss, injury, mental or emotional trauma, needs to <u>understand</u> that <u>no</u> 'mandate' of man, badge of office, nor other 'authorisation' voids, avoids, or nullifies the "As <u>you</u> sow so <u>shall</u> ye reap" Law of God.

Man has for so long 'imagined' that an 'order' tabled by a politician or other and enacted as 'text' in a book of rules is of such legitimacy as to 'overthrow' the LAW of the Supreme Ruler, God, that all now are emboldened to the point that they simply STEAL money, money, money, being the 'gold' honey of life <u>using rules</u> backed by force of arms. \*

Let it here be known that every 'penny' taken by man from man will be extracted by God's forces as <u>God</u> now 'upturns' everyone and imposes HIS 'Martial' Law through every realm of consciousness in the manner revealed by Me in my on line Testament of Truth.

This means, that on an absolutely 'Just & Equitable' EQUAL and thus 'eye for an eye' basis, every mental or emotional suffering, loss or dispossession imposed upon others will return upon the 'heads' of those who defied their God and caused others to 'suffer,' and NONE will or can avoid this time of GREAT TRAVAIL.

#### ~ The ultimate 'Question' and 'choice' for everyone ~

Point 16 - Do you the individual believe that it is RIGHT in the eye of your Creator to take up the 'cudgel' for an extortionist and wage war upon and impoverish a daughter of God, all for 30 pieces of silver?

You may so believe, but I the Plenipotentiary of God simply ask you to reconsider because - - - the destiny of <u>your</u> soul is now at 'stake' - - - WHY?

Because I am here to 'Judge' you and everyone individually to see if you <u>are</u> or are <u>not</u> walking your road within the 'precepts' of God's Command and, - - - if I the <u>absolute</u> Judge say "NO," then believe it or not, below into the Abyss you GO.

I can <u>assure</u> you that IF God's daughter 'Clemencia' is IN ANY WAY disadvantaged then the 'Tax' official that set you against her as well as yourself, will both 'die in your SIN' for your vanity, arrogance and insanity.

Point 17 - Be ADVISED - The 'Tax collector' is the 'Spear of Satan' and any person collecting Satan's dues <u>is</u> complicit to Treason against the Sovereign Power God and is to <u>be</u> subjected to HIS 'disposal.'

Point 18 - I send out this missive for and on behalf of mankind, yourselves and God so as to alert you personally to the <u>facts</u> that: As Mrs. Clemencia Barnes is a peaceful, loving, kind, compassionate, merciful and forgiving person who adores her Creator and, - - - she is a person guaranteed protection by the Constitutional Crown and by God our Sovereign Ruler for <u>not</u> disturbing the peace of the land, I categorically state that, - - -

Point 19 - IF you or any other proceed to pursue her with the intent to intimidate, attain money, dispossess or disadvantage her in any way, then my 'judgment' <u>is</u> unreserved for by your own <u>deeds</u> you <u>will</u> be banished for all eternity into the Abyss by the Sovereign Power, God.

Point 20 - Let it also be clearly understood that: Any person continuing to be an 'enforcer' or 'debt collector' who is backed by any coercive or forceful means is a person <u>self proven</u> to <u>be</u> defiant of God and by my 'pen' <u>and</u> by God 'judged' to <u>be</u> a 'bad' seed to <u>be</u> cast into the underworld of eternal agony and misery for their continued arrogance.

### ~ The 'No Tolerance' factor to the 'Freedom of religion' ACT of God ~

<u>I am advised</u> by God THE SOVEREIGN AUTHORITY that: There is now to be a "No tolerance" attitude taken towards anyone of the public or any state official having any <u>racial prejudice or religious INTOLERANCE</u>.

<u>I am advised</u> by God THE SOVEREIGN AUTHORITY that: Any 'official' or person inciting civil <u>unrest</u> in matters pertaining to the Constitutional "freedom of religion" Act will be very harshly dealt with.

<u>I am advised</u> by God THE SOVEREIGN AUTHORITY that: Any 'official' or person found <u>disturbing the peace of the peaceful</u> for any reason will be very harshly dealt with.

<u>I am advised</u> by God THE SOVEREIGN AUTHORITY that: Any 'official' or person <u>unlawfully</u> <u>interfering</u> in the lives of the peaceful for any reason will be very harshly dealt with.

<u>I am advised</u> by God THE SOVEREIGN AUTHORITY that: Any 'official' or person found to be carrying out any unlawful arrest – transportation – detention or punishment due to their disregard of the religious beliefs of others - <u>not disturbing the peace of the land</u> - will be very harshly dealt with.

As written by the hand of Terence This ends Chapter & Revelations 1 of 2

Judge Terence Malaher Constitutional Spiritual Law Consultant 419 Anchor Road, Pyengana Tasmania 7216 http://www.the-testament-of-truth.co.uk

This document is on line at: Item 22 - Page 10 found at: http://www.for-giveness.co.uk

The next 'Chapter & Revelation 2 of 2' revelations includes the validity of and legal right (or otherwise) of government officials to RULE man using force of arms and to thus enslave them, tax them, control them, and punish them.

It also contains other constitutional reference of importance for magistrates and enforcers giving a clear understanding of the overriding authority of Constitutional Law invalidating State Law where there is a 'conflicting' decision.

It also contains the New World 'Order' and New Constitution of God to take effect after the time of tribulation now at hand when God's Wrath ceases and peace and harmony become the order of the day. It also reveals the manner in which God imposes His Judgement upon the individual and how that determines their eternal destiny.

Peace - education & harmony is the new way to be.

True Light ~ True Doctrine ~ True God

Pertinent Links;

God's Energy: <a href="http://www.the-testament-of-truth.com/web/energy.htm">http://www.the-testament-of-truth.com/web/energy.htm</a>
The ONE true Faith: <a href="http://www.the-testament-of-truth.com/web/faith.htm">http://www.the-testament-of-truth.com/web/faith.htm</a>
Forbidden to use Energy: <a href="http://www.the-testament-of-truth.com/web/evil.htm">http://www.the-testament-of-truth.com/web/evil.htm</a>
Letter to the Judiciary: <a href="http://www.the-testament-of-truth.com/web/secret2.htm">http://www.the-testament-of-truth.com/web/secret2.htm</a>
The consequence of actions: <a href="http://www.the-testament-of-truth.com/web/suaction.htm">http://www.the-testament-of-truth.com/web/suaction.htm</a>